1	JACOBSON LAW FIRM	
2	2730 EAST BROADWAY BLVD., SUITE 160	
_	Tucson, Arizona 85716 Telephone (520) 885-2518	
3	FACSIMILE (520) 844-1011	
4	jeff@jhj-law.com	
7	Jeffrey H. Jacobson, SB#019502	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff	
6	IN THE UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT
7		
7	FOR THE DIST	RICT OF ARIZONA
8	CARRIE FERRARA CLARK,	Case No. 4:14-CV-02543-TUC-CKJ
9	Plaintiff,	
10	Tidilitiii,	PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED FORM OF
10	vs.	VERDICT
11		
12	CITY OF TUCSON,	How Cindy V. Longonson
14	Defendant.	Hon. Cindy K. Jorgenson
13	Defendant.	
14		·
17	Plaintiff Carrie Ferrara Clark submits	s the following proposed form of verdict.
15	A. <u>Title VII Disparate Treatment</u>	
16		
		derance of the evidence that the Defendant, City
17		se of or on the basis of pregnancy, childbirth, or
18	related medical conditions (including breast	reeding) when it:
	1. Treated Carrie Clark differe	ently than male employees by failing to use
19	Management Rights when assigning Plaintiff to fire stations between January 1, 2013, and	
$_{20}$		
	breast milk.	
21		
22	Yes No	
	2. Required Carrie Clark to me	et at fire department headquarters with three
23	male managers, who asked her inappropriate	et, at fire department headquarters, with three
24	managers, who asked her mappropriate	- 4
	Yes No	
25		
26		

Case 4:14-cv-02543-CKJ Document 211 Filed 04/10/19 Page 2 of 10

1	3.	Singled out Carrie Clark to perform firefighting drills on May 22, 2014?
2		Yes No
3	4.	Targeted Carrie Clark for excessive inspections by checking the fit of her
4	turnouts on I	May 29, 2014?
5		
6		Yes No
7		ir answer to any one of Questions No. 1 through 4 is "YES," proceed to the
8	next questions. If your answer to all of Questions No. 1 through 4 is "NO," do not respond to any other questions in Part A of this verdict form.	
9	5.	Having found in favor of Carrie Clark as to one or more of her claims against
10	Defendant, v	we the jury award her the following amount in compensatory damages.
11		
12	6	Compensatory damages: \$
13	6. Defendant, v	Having found in favor of Carrie Clark as to one or more of her claims against we the jury award her the following amount (if any) in punitive damages.
14		
15		Punitive damages: \$
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
-		

1	B. <u>Title VII Retaliation</u>	
2	1. Did Carrie Clark engage in a protected activity, that is, asserting her rights or filing a discrimination complaint?	
4	YesNo	
5	If your answer to Question No. 1 is "YES," proceed to Question No. 2. If your	
6	answer is "NO," do not respond to any other questions in Part B of this verdict form.	
7	2. For each alleged adverse action below, please answer YES or NO:	
8	a. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action	
9	when it disciplined her for her conduct during the March 20, 2013, telephone call with Assistant Chief Fischback, Deputy Chief Rodriguez, and Human Resources	
10	Manager JoAnn Acosta?	
11	Yes No	
12	b. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action	
13	when it deprived Carrie Clark of 3 hours of vacation time on June 19, 2014?	
14	Yes No	
15	c. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action	
16	when it precluded Carrie from a 6:00 a.m. start time while on light duty from June 19, 2014, through August 24, 2014?	
17	Yes No	
18		
19	d. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action when it restricted her to exercising at only headquarters while on light duty from	
20	June 19, 2014, through August 24, 2014?	
21	Yes No	
22	e. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action	
23	when it required her to obtain a doctor's note on June 19, 2014, in order to exercise while she was on light duty from June 19, 2014, through August 24, 2014?	
24		
25	Yes No	
26		

1 2	f. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action when it gave her an Educational Counseling for her conduct during the May 22, 2014, drill(s)?	
3		
4	YesNo	
5	g. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action when it gave her an Educational Counseling for not being in harmony with others on	
6	March 24, 2016?	
7	YesNo	
8	h. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action	
9	when it transferred her involuntarily from Fire Prevention into Operations effective May 1, 2016?	
10	YesNo	
11		
12	i. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action when it deprived her of seniority based on the retroactive application of the new	
13	Canicative Police to Marc 1, 20169	
14	YesNo	
15	j. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action	
16	when it deprived her of compensation for being deposed on May 25, 2016, October	
17		
18	YesNo	
19	k. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action when it deprived her of Paramedic Specialty Pay for one pay period in the amount of	
20	\$69.23?	
21	YesNo	
22	If your answer to any one of (a) through (k), are is "YES," proceed to Question No.	
23	3. If your answer to all of (a) through (k), are "NO," do not respond to any other questions in Part B of this verdict form.	
24		
25	3. Was Carrie Clark subjected to an adverse employment action because of her participation in a protected activity?	
26	Yes No	
	$oldsymbol{\Delta}$	

Case 4:14-cv-02543-CKJ Document 211 Filed 04/10/19 Page 5 of 10

1	If your answer to Question No. 3 is "YES," proceed to the next questions. If your answer is "NO," do not respond to any other questions in Part B of this verdict form.
2	
3	4. Having found in favor of Carrie Clark as to one or more of her claims against Defendant, we the jury award her the following amount in compensatory damages.
4	Compensatory damages: \$
5	
6	5. Having found in favor of Carrie Clark as to one or more of her claims against
7	Defendant, we the jury award her the following amount (if any) in punitive damages.
8	Punitive damages: \$
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$	
$\begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}$	
$\begin{bmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \end{bmatrix}$	
25	
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$	

1	C. <u>Fair Labor Standards Act</u>
2	Did the Defendant fail to provide Carrie Clark with a place, other than a bathroom,
3	shielded from view and free from intrusion from coworkers and the public, which she could
4	use to express breast milk?
5	
6	Yes No
7	If your answer is "YES," proceed to the next questions. If your answer is "NO," do not respond to any other questions in Part C of this verdict form.
8	Having found in favor of Carrie Clark as to one or more of her claims against
9	Defendant, we the jury award her the following amount in compensatory damages.
10	
11	Compensatory damages: \$
12	
13	Having found in favor of Carrie Clark as to one or more of her claims against Defendant, we the jury award her the following amount (if any) in punitive damages.
14	
15	Punitive damages: \$
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	D. <u>Fair Labor Standards Act Retaliation</u>
2	1. Did Carrie Clark oppose an unlawful employment practice, that is, Defendant's failure to provide a space to express her breast milk that complied with federal
3	law
5	YesNo
6	If your answer to Question No. 1 is "YES," proceed to Question No. 2. If your
7	answer is "NO," do not respond to any other questions in Part D of this verdict form.
8	2. For each alleged adverse action below, please answer YES or NO:
9	a. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action when it disciplined her for her conduct during the March 20, 2013, telephone call
10	with Assistant Chief Fischback, Deputy Chief Rodriguez, and Human Resources
11	Manager JoAnn Acosta?
12	YesNo
13	b. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action when it deprived Carrie Clark of 3 hours of vacation time on June 19, 2014?
14	YesNo
15	
1617	c. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action when it precluded Carrie from a 6:00 a.m. start time while on light duty from June 19, 2014, through August 24, 2014?
18	Yes No
19	d. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action
20	when it restricted her to exercising at only headquarters while on light duty from
21	June 19, 2014, through August 24, 2014?
22	Yes No
23	e. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action
24	when it required her to obtain a doctor's note on June 19, 2014, in order to exercise while she was on light duty from June 19, 2014, through August 24, 2014?
25	Yes No
26	

1	f. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action	
2	when it gave her an Educational Counseling for her conduct during the May 22, 2014, drill(s)?	
3	Yes No	
4		
5	g. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action when it gave her an Educational Counseling for not being in harmony with others on March 24, 2016?	
6		
7	YesNo	
8	h. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action	
9	when it transferred her involuntarily from Fire Prevention into Operations effective May 1, 2016?	
10	YesNo	
11		
12	i. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action when it deprived her of seniority based on the retroactive application of the new	
13	Seniority Policy to May 1, 2016?	
14	Yes No	
15	j. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action	
16	when it deprived her of compensation for being deposed on May 25, 2016, October 27, 2016, January 10, 2017, and June 15, 2017?	
17	Voc. No.	
18	YesNo	
19	k. Did Defendant subject Carrie Clark to an adverse employment action	
20	when it deprived her of Paramedic Specialty Pay for one pay period in the amount of \$69.23?	
21	Yes No	
22	If your answer to any one of (a) through (k), is "YES," proceed to Question No. 3. If	
23	your answer to all of (a) through (k), are "NO," do not respond to any other questions in	
24	Part D of this verdict form.	
25		
26		

Case 4:14-cv-02543-CKJ Document 211 Filed 04/10/19 Page 9 of 10

1	3. Was Carrie Clark subjected to an adverse employment action because of he	
2	participation in a protected activity?	
3	YesNo	
4	If your answer to Question No. 3 is "YES," proceed to the next questions. If your	
5	answer is "NO," do not respond to any other questions in Part D of this verdict form.	
6	4. Having found in favor of Carrie Clark as to one or more of her claims agains Defendant, we the jury award her the following amount in compensatory damages.	
7 8	Compensatory damages: \$	
9		
10	5. Having found in favor of Carrie Clark as to one or more of her claims again	
11	Punitive damages: \$	
12		
13		
14	DATED this 10 th day of April, 2019.	
15		
16	JACOBSON LAW FIRM	
17	s/Jeffrey H. Jacobson	
18	Jeffrey H. Jacobson Attorney for Plaintiff	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on April 10, 2019, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following CM/ECF registrants: Michelle Saavedra Renee Waters Principal Assistant City Attorneys Office of the City Attorney, Civil Division 255 West Alameda, 7th Floor Tucson, Arizona 85701 Attorneys for Defendant